

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		TIDOTALA MEDINATION	ATTORNIEW BOOKETNIO	CONEID MATION NO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,828	07/18/2003	Pamela J. Crachy	Pool Tray	1994
75	90 05/10/2004		EXAM	INER
Kajane McManus			HUYNH, KHOA D	
P.O. Box 344 Wonder Lake, IL 60097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wonder Edite, TE 00077			3751	
		DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/621,828	CRACHY, PAMELA J.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Khoa D. Huynh	3751				
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Inspect period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🗌	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	· /s\						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/621,828

Art Unit: 3751

₹.,

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, as presently understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huff (4893363) in view of LaCroix et al. (6017085).

The Huff reference discloses a hanging wall table or tray for swimming pools. The tray includes a horizontal platform (at 14) having grooves or perforations (at 60) for allowing water drainage. The tray also includes a base (at 20) engaged to the platform. The base further includes a first pair of legs (at 18), each having a hook (at 38) thereon for engaging a lip of the pool (Fig. 1), and a second pair of legs (at 48,50) angulated downwardly from the platform to end resting against a sidewall (at 26) of the pool.

The Huff reference DIFFERS in that the platform does not include an upstanding rim as claimed. Attention, however, is directed to the LaCroix et al. reference which discloses another hanging tray (at 22) having an upstanding peripheral rim (at 32) for protection of tray contents. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Huff reference by employing an upstanding peripheral rim,

Application/Control Number: 10/621,828

Art Unit: 3751

in view of the teaching of LaCroix et al., in order to prevent contents from falling in the event of tray is tipped or bumped.

Regarding claim 2, the Huff reference also DIFFERS in that it does not specifically include a cover as claimed. Attention, however, is also directed to the LaCroix et al. reference which discloses another hanging tray (at 22) having a lid or cover (at 34) for covering the contents of the tray. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Huff reference by employing a cover, in view of the teaching of LaCroix et al., in order to cover and prevent contents from falling in the event of tray is physically disturbed. Regarding the recitation "removable cover", the cover (at 34) is capable of being removed by disconnecting the attached mechanism (at 38).

Regarding claim 3, the Huff reference also DIFFERS in that it does not specifically include a cover as claimed. Attention, however, is also directed to the LaCroix et al. reference which discloses another hanging tray (at 22) having a lid or cover (at 34) for covering the contents of the tray. As schematically shown in Figure 14, the cover is hinged via a hinge (at 38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Huff reference by employing a cover, in view of the teaching of LaCroix et al., in order to cover and prevent contents from falling in the event of tray is physically disturbed.

Regarding claim 5, claim 5 is the combination of claims 1 and 3 that are rejected as discussed above.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record is considered pertinent to applicant's invention as claimed and could be used to formulate a rejection if needed. It is recommended that applicant should make an attempt to review the cited prior art. Karpihski was cited to show a refreshment stand for swimming pool. Getfield and Lambert was cited to show a collapsible tray assembly for use in an automobile.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 306-5483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,828

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khoa D. Huynh Patent Examiner Art Unit 3751 Page 5

HK 05/07/2004